



Minerals Program

5-13-92	SWITHINE MINING	Co	BURGIN	MINE	M/049/0	2009
Date	Operator - Project Name	(ZUMA	CHY PIT	PROJECT)	File # (E/049/	621)

Criteria for Defining Amendments and Revisions

This policy became effective May 22, 1991

The following policy provides clarification in determining whether a proposed change to a plan should be considered an amendment or a revision under rules R647-4-118 and R647-4-119. A revision is considered a significant change to the approved Notice of Intention or MRP and would require public notice. An amendment is considered to be a less significant change to the Notice of Intention. If the proposed change to the Mining and Reclamation Plan qualifies for three of the four categories discussed below, then the change will be considered a *revision* and addressed under rule R647-4-119. If the proposed change does not fall within three of the categories below, it will be considered an *amendment* and addressed under rule R647-4-118. The determination will be based on the following categories:

		Criteria	Comments
	1	The acreage will increase by 50% of the existing acreage or 50 acres, whichever is smaller. For example, if a 10 acre site increases by 5 acres, it would then fall within this category. EXISTING = 96 (7) OR 303.47(3) ACRES PROPOSAL = 7(TRECLIMINATI) OF 3.9 (LITEST)	PROPOSAL \$\(\frac{40.5}{96}\) = 48 \$\(\frac{40.5}{503}\) = 151.5 \$\(\ldot\)
2	2	The surety will increase by 25% of the existing surety or \$50,000, whichever is smaller. EXISTING = \$737,000 (1995) (25)(\$737,000) = \$184,250	\$50,000 OR \$184,250 DOUBTFUL NOT YET DETERMINED
3	3	The overall additional environmental impacts are considered significant, when compared with impacts already affecting the site. The degree of change would not be negligible. This determination is made after evaluating the impacts to soils, vegetation, hydrology (ground and surface), wildlife, air, or other media.	PROPOSAL IS TO REMOVE MINE DUMPS & WORK A PRE-LAW OPEN PIT.
4	4	The impacts proposed in the amendment are significant enough to warrant the need for an opportunity for public comment.	No

This	proposal	is:

an Amendment

day

□ a Revision

DOES NOT MEET 3 OUT OF 4

SUNSHINE MINING COMPANY (SMC) M/049/009

Bonding Summary

(January 16, 1992)

	Area Within Permit Boundary (acres)	Area Currently Disturbed (acres)	Area Currently Bonded by DOGM (acres)	Itemized Bond Amount 1984 - \$ (3)
Apex Shaft Area	2.8	2.0 (?)	2.8	41,440
Trixie Shaft Area	11.4	3.8 (?)	4.95	37,320
Hunter Shaft Area*	10.0	0	5.71	48,100
Burgin Mill Area	57.9	29.4 (?)	29.4	129,600
Burgin Tailings Pond*	41.37	28.67 (?)	28.67	39,310
Burgin Settling Ponds	180.0	26.0 (?)	26.0	30,750
Trixie Tailings Pond #1*	0	0	0	0
Trixie Tailings Ponds #2-4	2.2 (?)	2.2 (?)	0	[4,920 (1)]
Trixie Tailings Ponds A,B,C*	10.01 (?)	0	0	[17,450 (2)]
Zuma Clay Operation	7*	5	0	[11,400*]

- * Denotes proposed project
- (?) Need verification from operator
- (1) SMC estimate in 1985 dollars, not currently bonded by DOGM
- (2) SMC estimate in 1986 dollars, not currently bonded by DOGM
- (3) These values do not include the 10% contingency or escalation to 1995

Note: Current bond held by DOGM is for \$737,000 in 1995 dollars